<u>CLAIMS</u>

1. (Currently Amended) A method for facilitating validation of a system

being designed prior to attempting to deploy the system comprising:

receiving, by a system validation computing device configured to facilitate

validation of a system being designed prior to attempting to deploy the system, a

description of the system being designed to be used in an environment of a data

center but not yet deployed to the data center;

receiving, by the system validation computing device, a description of an

environment that simulates a target-deployment environment, wherein the target-

deployment environment is the data center in which the system is to be

deployed; and

using, by the system validation computing device, both of the received

descriptions to validate the system against the environment while the system is

being designed and prior to attempting to deploy the system to the data center.

2. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, the description of the

system comprising an SDM document.

3. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, the description of the

environment comprising a LIM document.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-2- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.lechayes.com • 509,324,9256

(Canceled) 4.

5. (Canceled)

6. (Currently Amended) One or more computer

readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when

executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to

perform a method comprising:

accessing an application description that describes an application in the

process of being designed to be used in an environment of a data center, by a

program running on the one or more processors; and

validating the application, using the application description, against a

simulated environment, the environment comprising a description of the data

center and prior to deployment to the data center.

7. (Previously Presented) One or more

readable media as recited in claim 6, the plurality of instructions further causing

the processor to:

receive, from a requestor, a request to validate the application; and

return, to the requestor, a result of the validation.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-3lee@hayes The Business of IP*

8. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 6, wherein the instructions that cause the one

or more processors to validate the application against the simulated environment

further cause the one or more processors to perform a method comprising:

selecting a top-level definition from the application description;

generating an appropriate instance, as described by the top-level

definition, for an instance space;

selecting an additional definition nested within the top-level definition;

generating an appropriate instance, as described by the additional

definition, for the instance space based on whether the selected definition

defines an object or a relationship; and

continuing the selection of an additional definition and the generation of an

appropriate instance, as described by the additional definition, until instances for

all of the definitions nested within the top-level definition have been generated for

the instance space.

9. (Previously Presented) One or more computer

readable media as recited in claim 6, wherein the instructions that cause the one

or more processors to validate the application against the simulated environment

further cause the one or more processors to:

identify one or more flows in an instance space, the instance space

describing the application;

for each of at least one of the one or more flows:

identify one or more input values for the flow, the input values being

obtained from other instances of the instance space; and

generate, based at least in part on the input values, an output value for the

flow.

10. (Previously Presented) One or more computer

readable media as recited in claim 6, wherein the instructions that cause the one

or more processors to validate the application against the simulated environment

further cause the one or more processors to:

identify one or more constraints in an instance space, the instance space

describing the application;

check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied; and

return, for each of the one or more constraints, a value indicating whether

the constraint is satisfied.

11. (Currently Amended) An apparatus for facilitating

validation of a software application being designed to be used in an environment

of a data center and prior to attempting to deploy the software application to one

<u>area of the data center</u>, the apparatus comprising:

a verifier configured to check one or more documents describing a

software application for errors in order for the loader to load;

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-5-

lee@hayes The Business of IP°

a loader configured to load the one or more documents describing the

software application, the software application being designed when the one or

more documents are loaded; and

a simulator configured to simulate an environment of a data center, the

environment comprising a description of the data center, and validate the

software application against the environment prior to deployment to the data

center, and return a result of the validation;

an expansion engine to identify a top-level definition from one of the one or

more documents and expand the top-level definition to populate an instance

space by instantiating members nested in the top-level definition;

the apparatus being separate from the data center and the apparatus

being comprised, at least in part, of a computer hardware component.

12. (Original) An appa

An apparatus as recited in claim 11, further

comprising:

an expansion engine to identify a top-level definition from one of the one or

more documents and expand the top-level definition to populate an instance

space by instantiating members nested in the top-level definition.

13. (Original)

An apparatus as recited in claim 12, further

comprising:

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-6- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

a flow engine to identify flows in the instance space, identify the values of

inputs to the flows, and setting an output of the flow based on the inputs to the

flows.

14. (Original) An apparatus as recited in claim 13, further

comprising:

a constraint engine to identify and evaluate constraints in the instance

space.

15. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media having

stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more

processors, causes the one or more processors to:

access a document that describes a system being designed to be used in

an environment of a data center:

select a top-level definition from the document;

generate an appropriate instance, as described by the top-level definition,

for an instance space;

select an additional definition nested within the top-level definition;

generate an appropriate instance, as described by the additional definition,

for the instance space based on whether the selected definition defines an object

or a relationship; and

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-7- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

continue the selection of an additional definition and the generation of an

appropriate instance, as described by the additional definition, until instances for

all of the definitions nested within the top-level definition have been generated for

the instance space.

16. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 15, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to generate an appropriate instance, as described by the additional

definition, when the selected definition defines a relationship further cause the

one or more processors to:

identify a number of relationship instances to create based on a number of

source instances and a number of target instances involved in the defined

relationship;

create the identified number of relationship instances; and

for each of the created relationship instances, associate source and target

instances with the relationship instance.

17. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 16, the selected definition defining a containment relationship that

describes that one instance can be contained in another instance.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-8- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.lechayes.com • 500,324,0256

18. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 16, the selected definition defining a communication relationship that describes an interaction between independently deployed software elements.

19. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 16, the selected definition defining a reference relationship used to capture dependencies between instances.

20. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 16, the selected definition defining a hosting relationship that associates a host with one or more of its guest member instances.

21. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 16, the selected definition defining a delegation relationship that associates communication endpoints of two systems.

22. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 15, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more processors to generate an appropriate instance, as described by the additional definition, when the selected definition defines an object further cause the one or more processors to:

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-9- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

identify a minimum number of occurrences of the object as identified in the

selected definition;

identify a number of instances of the selected definition to generate based

on the identified minimum number of occurrences and how many instances of the

selected definition have already been generated; and

generate the identified number of instances of the selected definition.

23. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 15, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to generate an appropriate instance, as described by the additional

definition, when the selected definition defines an object further cause the one or

more processors to:

trigger an event that allows a listener to create the appropriate instance as

described by the additional information.

24. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 15, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to generate an appropriate instance, as described by the additional

definition, when the selected definition defines a relationship further cause the

one or more processors to:

trigger an event that allows a listener to create the appropriate instance as

described by the additional information.

Serial No.: 10/791,222

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie -10-

lee@hayes The Business of IP*

recited in claim 15, wherein the instructions are to be executed prior to beginning

deployment of the system in the data center.

26. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media having

stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more

processors, causes the one or more processors to:

identify one or more flows in an instance space, the instance space

describing a system being designed to be used in an environment of a data

center;

for each of at least one of the one or more flows:

identify one or more input values for the flow, the input values being

obtained from other instances of the instance space; and

generate, based at least in part on the input values, an output value for the

flow.

27. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 26, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to identify one or more input values for the flow further cause the one

or more processors to:

identify whether the input values have been assigned yet;

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-11-

lee@hayes The Business of IP*

if the input values have been assigned then obtain the input values from

the other instances;

if at least one of the input values has not been assigned yet then, for each

of the input values that has not been assigned yet:

identify one other flow that sets the input value;

identify one or more input values for the other flow, the input values being

obtained from other instances of the instance space; and

generate, based at least in part on the input values, an output value for the

other flow.

28. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 26, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to generate, based at least in part on the input values, the output

value for the flow further cause the one or more processors to:

identify a set of instructions associated with the flow that can be executed

to generate a result;

execute the identified set of instructions; and

use the generated result as the output value for the flow.

29. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 26, the system comprising an application to be deployed in the

environment.

Serial No.: 10/791,222

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-12- loo@have

lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.leehayea.com • 500,324,9256

30. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as recited in claim 26, the environment comprising a hardware description of a data

center.

31. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 26, wherein the instructions are to be executed prior to beginning

deployment of the system in the environment.

32. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media having

stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more

processors, causes the one or more processors to:

identify one or more constraints in an instance space, the instance space

describing a system being designed to be used in an environment of a data

center;

check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied; and

return, for each of the one or more constraints, a value indicating whether

the constraint is satisfied.

33. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 32, the one or more constraints including a setting constraint, a

relationship constraint, and an object constraint.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-13- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.lechayes.com • 500,324,0256

recited in claim 32, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied further

cause the one or more processors to, for one of the constraints:

identify a set of instructions associated with the constraint that can be

executed to generate a result;

execute the identified set of instructions; and

use the generated result as the value returned indicating whether the

constraint is satisfied.

35. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 32, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied further

cause the one or more processors to, for one of the constraints:

identify a role and an object definition for a target instance of the

constraint;

check whether the role and the object definition of the constraint match the

role and the object definition of the target instance; and

generate, based on whether the role and the object definition of the

constraint match the role and the object definition of the target instance, the

value returned indicating whether the constraint is satisfied.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-14-

lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.lechayes.com • 500,324,0256

recited in claim 35, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied further

cause the one or more processors to, for the one of the constraints:

identify a secondary role and a secondary object definition for the target

instance of the constraint;

check whether the secondary role and the secondary object definition of

the constraint match the role and the object definition of the target instance; and

generate, based on whether the role and the object definition of the

constraint match both the role and the object definition of the target instance and

the secondary role and the secondary object definition of the target instance, the

value returned indicating whether the constraint is satisfied.

37. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 35, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied further

cause the one or more processors to, for the one of the constraints:

evaluate one or more nested constraints for the target instance;

receive one or more return values for the nested constraints, the one or

more return values indicating whether the one or more nested constraints are

satisfied; and

Serial No.: 10/791,222

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-15- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

generate, based on the one or more return values for the nested

constraints, the value returned indicating whether the constraint is satisfied.

38. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 32, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to return, for each of the one or more constraints, a value indicating

whether the constraint is satisfied further cause the one or more processors to,

for one of the constraints:

if a value indicating that the constraint is not satisfied is to be returned,

then check whether an error message is to be generated for the constraint; and

if the error message is to be generated, then generate the error message

including information identifying the constraint.

39. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 32, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to check whether the one or more constraints are satisfied further

cause the one or more processors to, for one of the constraints:

initialize a match count variable;

identify one or more relationship instances that the target instance of the

constraint participates in;

evaluate, for each of the one or more relationship instances, whether the

relationship instance satisfies the constraint;

Serial No.: 10/791,222

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-16-

lee@hayes The Business of IP*

increment the match count variable for each of the one or more

relationship instances that satisfies the constraint; and

generate, based on the value of the match count variable after the one or

more relationship instances have been evaluated, the value returned indicating

whether the constraint is satisfied.

40. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 39, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to evaluate, for each of the one or more relationship instances,

whether the relationship instance satisfies the constraint further cause the one or

more processors to, for the one of the constraints:

check whether a relationship definition of the constraint matches a

relationship definition of the relationship instance;

check whether a direction of the constraint matches a direction of the

relationship instance;

check whether all nested constraints for the relationship instance are

satisfied; and

return a value indicating that the constraint is satisfied only if the

relationship definition of the constraint matches the relationship definition of the

relationship instance, the direction of the constraint matches the direction of the

relationship instance, and all nested constraints for the relationship instance are

satisfied.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-17- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

recited in claim 39, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to evaluate, for each of the one or more relationship instances,

whether the relationship instance satisfies the constraint further cause the one or

more processors to, for the one of the constraints:

check whether a target object of the constraint matches an instance at the

other end of the relationship instance; and

return a value indicating that the constraint is satisfied only if the

relationship definition of the constraint matches the relationship definition of the

relationship instance, the direction of the constraint matches the direction of the

relationship instance, all nested constraints for the relationship instance are

satisfied, and the target object of the constraint matches the instance at the other

end of the relationship instance.

42. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 39, wherein the instructions that cause the one or more

processors to generate, based on the value of the match count variable after the

one or more relationship instances have been evaluated, the value returned

indicating whether the constraint is satisfied further cause the one or more

processors to, for one of the constraints:

check whether the match count variable is at least a minimum value of the

constraint but is not greater than a maximum value of the constraint; and

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-18-

lee@hayes The Business of IP°

www.lechayea.com • 509.324.9256

generate the value returned indicating that the constraint is satisfied if the match count variable is at least the minimum value of the constraint but is not greater than the maximum value of the constraint, otherwise generate the value returned indicating that the constraint is not satisfied.

43. (Withdrawn) One or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 32, wherein the instructions are to be executed prior to beginning

deployment of the system in the environment.

44. (Currently Amended) An apparatus for facilitating

validation of a software application being designed to be used in an environment

of a data center and prior to attempting to deploy the software application to one

area of the data center, the apparatus comprising:

a verifier configured to check one or more documents describing a

software application for errors in order for thefor a loader to load;

a loader configured to load the one or more documents describing the

software application, the software application being designed when the one or

more documents are loaded;

a simulator configured to simulate an environment of a data center, the

environment comprising a description of the data center, to validate the software

application against the environment prior to deployment to the data center, and to

return a result of the validation;

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US
Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-19- lee@ha

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

an expansion engine configured to identify a top-level definition from one

of the one or more documents and expand the top-level definition to populate an

instance space by instantiating members nested in the top-level definition;

a flow engine configured to identify flows in the instance space, to identify

the values of inputs to the flows, and to set an output of the flow based on the

inputs to the flows;

a constraint engine configured to identify and evaluate constraints in the

instance space;

the apparatus being separate from the data center and the apparatus

being comprised, at least in part, of a computer hardware component.

Serial No.: 10/791,222 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -2019US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

-20lee@hayes The Business of IP*

www.lechayca.com • 500.324,0256